

## A Companion Inequality to Jensen's Inequality

MORTON L. SLATER

*Department of Mathematics, Texas Christian University,  
Fort Worth, Texas 76129*

*Communicated by R. Bojanic*

Received February 10, 1980

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider a convex function  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow R$ , where  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ . We recall that  $f$  is *convex* if for all  $x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ ,

$$f(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) \leq \alpha_1 f(x_1) + \alpha_2 f(x_2).$$

$f$  is *strictly convex* if, in addition, whenever  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2$  is strictly between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ,

$$f(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) < \alpha_1 f(x_1) + \alpha_2 f(x_2).$$

If  $f$  is convex, it is continuous; its left and right derivatives,  $f'_-$  and  $f'_+$ , exist, are finite, and are non-decreasing;  $f'_- \leq f'_+$ , and except for at most countably many  $x \in (a, b)$ ,  $f'_-(x) = f'(x) = f'_+(x)$ .

Jensen's inequality can be stated as follows:

Suppose that  $f$  is convex on  $(a, b)$ . Then, for  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $(a, b)$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \geq 0$ ,  $p_1 + \dots + p_n > 0$ ,

$$f\left(\frac{p_1 x_1 + \dots + p_n x_n}{p_1 + \dots + p_n}\right) \leq \frac{p_1 f(x_1) + \dots + p_n f(x_n)}{p_1 + \dots + p_n}. \quad (1)$$

The inequality in the title of the paper states that, under the additional assumption of monotonicity of  $f$ , there is a specific point in  $(a, b)$  at which the value of  $f$  is greater than or equal to the right-hand side of (1).

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $f$  is convex and increasing on  $(a, b)$ . Then for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in (a, b)$ ,  $p_1, \dots, p_n \geq 0$ ,  $p_1 + \dots + p_n > 0$ , and  $p_1 f'_+(x_1) + \dots + p_n f'_+(x_n) > 0$ , we have*

$$\frac{p_1 f(x_1) + \dots + p_n f(x_n)}{p_1 + \dots + p_n} \leq f\left(\frac{p_1 f'_+(x_1) x_1 + \dots + p_n f'_+(x_n) x_n}{p_1 f'_+(x_1) + \dots + p_n f'_+(x_n)}\right). \quad (2)$$

A more general version of Jensen's inequality can be stated as follows:

Suppose that  $f$  is convex on  $(a, b)$ , that  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  is a probability measure space, and that  $X: (E, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (a, b)$  is measurable. If  $X$  and  $f \circ X$  are in  $L(\mu)$ , then

$$f\left(\int_E X d\mu\right) \leq \int_E (f \circ X) d\mu. \tag{3}$$

The corresponding generalization of Theorem 1 is

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $f$  is convex and increasing on  $(a, b)$ . Suppose also that  $X: (E, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (a, b)$  is measurable, that  $f \circ X$ ,  $f'_+ \circ X$ , and  $(f'_+ \circ X)X$  are in  $L(\mu)$  and that  $\int_E (f'_+ \circ X) d\mu > 0$ . Then*

$$\int_E (f \circ X) d\mu \leq f\left(\frac{\int_E (f'_+ \circ X)X d\mu}{\int_E (f'_+ \circ X) d\mu}\right). \tag{4}$$

If, in addition we assume that  $f$  is strictly convex, then equality holds in (4) if and only if  $X$  is constant  $\mu$  a.e.

Both theorems remain valid if at any occurrence of  $f'_+(x)$  we write instead any value in the interval  $[f'_-(x), f'_+(x)]$ . Note merely that inequalities (5) and (6) below, on which the proofs depend, continue to hold with such replacement. (" $f'_+$ " was chosen for ease in stating the theorems.) Furthermore, both theorems are also true if  $f$  is a convex and decreasing function; the only changes needed in the hypotheses are to require  $p_1 f'_+(x_1) + \dots + p_n f'_+(x_n) < 0$  in Theorem 1, and  $\int_E f'_+ \circ X d\mu < 0$  in Theorem 2. For if  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow R$  is a convex and decreasing function, then  $\tilde{f}: (-b, -a) \rightarrow R$ , defined by  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$ , is a convex and increasing function, and Theorems 1 and 2 applied to  $\tilde{f}$  yield the same theorems with  $f$ , just as before.

In Section 2, inequalities (2) and (4) will be established and the case of equality will be discussed. Section 3 contains several applications. Section 4 contains concluding remarks.

## 2. THE PROOFS OF THE COMPANION INEQUALITIES

The proofs of (2) and (4) are based on the inequality

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + (y - x) f'_+(x), \tag{5}$$

valid for any convex function on  $(a, b)$  and arbitrary  $x, y \in (a, b)$ . The proof

that (in the case of strict convexity) equality holds in (4) only if  $X$  is constant  $\mu$  a.e. depends on the inequality

$$f(y) > f(x) + (y - x) f'_+(x), \quad (6)$$

valid for any strictly convex function on  $(a, b)$  and arbitrary distinct  $x, y \in (a, b)$ .

To simplify typesetting, set

$$A = \frac{p_1 f'_+(x_1) x_1 + \cdots + p_n f'_+(x_n) x_n}{p_1 f'_+(x_1) + \cdots + p_n f'_+(x_n)}.$$

To prove Theorem 1, observe that  $A \in (a, b)$  since  $A$  is a convex combination of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and so by (5),

$$f(A) \geq f(x_k) + (A - x_k) f'_+(x_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Multiply the  $k$ th inequality by  $p_k$  and add the inequalities thus obtained; we find

$$(p_1 + \cdots + p_n) f(A) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f(x_k) + A \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f'_+(x_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f'_+(x_k) x_k,$$

and Theorem 1 is proved since

$$A \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f'_+(x_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f'_+(x_k) x_k = 0.$$

We begin the proof of Theorem 2 by establishing inequality (4). The proof is similar to that of (2). Set

$$A = \frac{\int_E (f'_+ \circ X) X \, d\mu}{\int_E (f'_+ \circ X) \, d\mu}.$$

$A \in (a, b)$ , and by (5), for every  $t \in E$ ,

$$f(A) \geq (f'_+ \circ X)(t) + (A - X(t))(f'_+ \circ X)(t).$$

Integrate this inequality with respect to  $\mu$  and observe that  $A \int_E (f'_+ \circ X) \, d\mu - \int_E (f'_+ \circ X) X \, d\mu = 0$ ; (4) is immediate.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we consider the case of equality in (4). If  $X$  is constant  $\mu$  a.e., then (4) is an obvious equality. We now show that if we require  $f$  to be *strictly* convex, then for equality to hold in (4), it is also necessary that  $X$  be constant  $\mu$  a.e.

Since  $f$  is increasing and convexity is strict on  $(a, b)$ , have  $f'_+ > 0$ , and  $Z: E \rightarrow R$  as defined by the equation

$$\int_E f \circ X \, d\mu = (f \circ X)(t) + (f'_+ \circ X)(t)(Z(t) - X(t)), \tag{7}$$

is measurable on  $E$ .

From (3) and (4), and the continuity and strict monotonicity of  $f$ , we see that there is a unique  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x_0) = \int_E f \circ X \, d\mu$ . We rewrite (7) as

$$Z(t) - x_0 = \frac{f(x_0) - ((f \circ X)(t) + (x_0 - X(t))(f'_+ \circ X)(t))}{(f'_+ \circ X)(t)}. \tag{8}$$

From (6), and the fact that  $f'_+ > 0$ , we see that for all  $t \in E$ ,  $X(t) \neq x_0$  if and only if  $Z(t) > x_0$ , while  $X(t) = x_0$  if and only if  $Z(t) = x_0$ .

Integrate both sides of (7) with respect to  $\mu$  and divide by  $\int_E f'_+ \circ X \, d\mu$ . We find

$$A = \frac{\int_E (f'_+ \circ X) Z \, d\mu}{\int_E (f'_+ \circ X) \, d\mu}, \tag{9}$$

where  $A$  is as defined above. If  $X$  is not constant  $\mu$  a.e., then  $E_1 = \{t \in E: X(t) \neq x_0\} = \{t \in E: Z(t) > x_0\}$  has positive measure. Since  $E \setminus E_1 = \{t \in E: Z(t) = x_0\}$ , we see from (9) that  $A > x_0$ , and so  $\int_E f \circ X \, d\mu = f(x_0) < f(A)$ ; thus the inequality in (4) is strict and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

The following example illustrates one of the possibilities for equality in (4) (more specifically, in (2)) when convexity is not strict. (A sketch will make the construction clear.)

Suppose  $f_i(x) = m_i x + b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $x \in R$ , where  $0 < m_1 < m_2$ . Define  $f = \text{Sup}(f_1, f_2)$  and let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  be defined by  $f_1(\bar{x}) = f_2(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$ . Suppose  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are fixed points such that  $x_1 < \bar{x} < x_2$ .  $\bar{y}$  is in the open interval  $(f(x_1), f(x_2))$  and so there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$  such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$  and  $\alpha_1 f(x_1) + \alpha_2 f(x_2) = \bar{y}$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\alpha_1 f'(x_1) x_1 + \alpha_2 f'(x_2) x_2}{\alpha_1 f'(x_1) + \alpha_2 f'(x_2)}.$$

( $f'(x_1) = m_1$  and  $f'(x_2) = m_2$ .) Thus

$$\alpha_1 f(x_1) + \alpha_2 f(x_2) = \bar{y} = f(\bar{x}) = f\left(\frac{\alpha_1 f'(x_1) x_1 + \alpha_2 f'(x_2) x_2}{\alpha_1 f'(x_1) + \alpha_2 f'(x_2)}\right).$$

## 3. APPLICATIONS

In this section we give two applications, one of inequality (4) (which yields a familiar result), and one of inequality (2) (which might be new). Since all integrals are over  $E$ , we will drop " $E$ " from the integral sign.

1°. Define  $f: R \rightarrow R$  by  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$  and  $f(x) = x^p$ ,  $p > 1$ , for  $x \geq 0$ . If  $X: E \rightarrow R$  is non-negative, bounded, and measurable, and not a null function, then from (4)

$$\int X^p d\mu \leq \left( \frac{\int X^p d\mu}{\int X^{p-1} d\mu} \right)^p; \quad (10)$$

after rearranging we obtain

$$\left( \int X^{p-1} d\mu \right)^{1/(p-1)} \leq \left( \int X^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}. \quad (11)$$

By familiar approximation techniques of real analysis, notably the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem, (11) can easily be shown to hold for all non-negative extended real valued measurable  $X$ . With  $q > 0$ , replace  $X$  by  $X^q$  and raise both sides of (11) to the  $1/q$ . If we now set  $q = t - s$  and  $p = t/(t - s)$ , where  $0 < s < t$ , we recover the well known result

$$\left( \int X^s d\mu \right)^{1/s} \leq \left( \int X^t d\mu \right)^{1/t}, \quad \text{if } 0 < s < t. \quad (12)$$

It is also well known that (12) holds if  $s < t < 0$ ; this too can be obtained from (11) by first replacing  $X$  by  $1/X$ , and then proceeding in a similar fashion.

2°. Suppose  $g: \{z: |z| < R\} \rightarrow C$  is analytic. Let  $M(r) = \text{Max} \{|g(z)|: |z| = r\}$  for  $0 < r < R$ . From the maximum modulus theorem and the Hadamard three circles theorem we are able to conclude that  $\log M(r)$  is a convex increasing function of  $\log r$ . That is, the composite function  $\log \circ M \circ \exp$  is convex and increasing on  $(0, R)$ . Since  $\log$  and  $\exp$  have strictly positive derivatives, we conclude that the one-sided derivatives  $M'_+$  and  $M'_-$  exist and satisfy  $M'_- \leq M'_+$  and that they are equal except for at most countably many  $r \in (0, R)$ .

Take any  $r_1, r_2 \in (0, R)$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ . Hadamard's inequality states

$$M(r_1^{\alpha_1} r_2^{\alpha_2}) \leq (M(r_1))^{\alpha_1} (M(r_2))^{\alpha_2}; \quad (13)$$

the companion inequality, which will be proved below, states

$$(M(r_1))^{\alpha_1} (M(r_2))^{\alpha_2} \leq M(r_1^{\beta_1} r_2^{\beta_2}), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\beta_i = \frac{\alpha_i M'_+(r_i) r_i / M(r_i)}{\alpha_1 M'_+(r_1) r_1 / M(r_1) + \alpha_2 M'_+(r_2) r_2 / M(r_2)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We begin the proof by setting  $u_1 = \log r_1$ ,  $u_2 = \log r_2$ , and with  $f: (-\infty, \log R) \rightarrow R$  defined by  $f(u) = \log M(e^u)$ , we apply inequality (2):

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 \log M(e^{u_1}) + \alpha_2 \log M(e^{u_2}) \tag{16} \\ & \leq \log M \left( \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha_1 [M'_+(e^{u_1})/M(e^{u_1})] e^{u_1} u_1 + \alpha_2 [M'_+(e^{u_2})/M(e^{u_2})] e^{u_2} u_2}{\alpha_1 [M'_+(e^{u_1})/M(e^{u_1})] e^{u_1} + \alpha_2 [M'_+(e^{u_2})/M(e^{u_2})] e^{u_2}} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we now apply exp to both sides of (16) and replace  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  by  $\log r_1$  and  $\log r_2$  respectively, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (M(r_1))^{\alpha_1} (M(r_2))^{\alpha_2} \\ & \leq M \left( \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha_1 [M'_+(r_1)/M(r_1)] r_1 \log r_1 + \alpha_2 [M'_+(r_2)/M(r_2)] r_2 \log r_2}{\alpha_1 [M'_+(r_1)/M(r_1)] r_1 + \alpha_2 [M'_+(r_2)/M(r_2)] r_2} \right\} \right) \\ & = M(\exp(\beta_1 \log r_1 + \beta_2 \log r_2)) \\ & = M(r_1^{\beta_1} r_2^{\beta_2}), \text{ and the proof is complete.} \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Hardy, Littlewood, and Polyà's "Inequalities," is still an excellent source; all our "well known" results are to be found there.

For a discussion of the differentiability of  $M(r)$ , Otto Blumenthal, "Über ganze transzendente Funktionen," Jahresbericht d. Deutschen Mathem.-Vereinigung, XVI, Heft 2, 1905, seems to be the best we could find.  $M'_+(r) > M'_-(r)$  can occur. We remark that in (14), any  $M'_+$  may be replaced by  $M'_-$  (or any value inbetween) and the inequality remains valid. (In this connection, compare the remarks following the statement of Theorem 2.)

Besides the elementary convex monotone functions (e.g.,  $f(x) = e^x$ ,  $f(x) = x(\log_+ x)^k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ) which often provide useful companion inequalities, there are other functions from analytic function theory whose companion inequalities may be worth investigating. For example, the Nevanlinna

characteristic  $T(r, f)$  of a meromorphic  $f$  (see Einar Hille, "Analytic Function Theory," Vol. II) is known to be an increasing convex function of  $\log r$ . Its differentiability properties were recently investigated by D. W. Townsend (Abstracts A. M. S. Vol. 1, No. 1, January 1980, Abstract 773-30-12).